DISASTER DRAW

The Empty Chair at the Table

Illness, shared air, and keeping a community working

November 2026 · Concise digital edition

About this edition

An original synthesis of linked primary accounts and practical discussion exercises. Hypothetical scenes are identified in the text. Independent expert review is pending. Consult current local instructions for an actual emergency.

Contents

The first absence

The room we share

The instruction people can afford to follow

The household that cares for someone

The work that must continue

The update that changed

The person who stays absent

Before and after the word pandemic

A week with half a team

The seat beside the door

What to keep

The first absence

Imagine a small organization in the early days of a respiratory outbreak. One person is away. Then another. The meeting continues because most people still feel well. A task that depended on one absent colleague begins to slip. Nobody has yet decided whether the room, the attendance rule, or the work schedule should change. This is a hypothetical scene about preparedness, not a reconstruction of a named outbreak.

A pandemic changes more than the number of people who are ill. It changes whether someone can care for a child, reach a routine appointment, earn an income while staying home, or enter a public service safely. The costs are distributed unevenly. A plan that assumes everyone can simply work from a spare room leaves many people out.

WHO's Preparedness and Resilience for Emerging Threats approach includes planning for respiratory pathogens with pandemic potential. It encourages using capacities that can serve more than one pathogen while accounting for the specific threat. The next pandemic will require its own evidence and guidance. WHO PRET

The room we share

Respiratory viruses can spread through air, particularly in crowded indoor spaces. CDC describes cleaner air as a core prevention strategy, with options including bringing in outdoor air, suitable air cleaning, and meeting outdoors. The practical choice depends on the building and the conditions. CDC cleaner air

That makes the meeting room a shared responsibility. An attendee can choose a seat, but may have little control over ventilation or maintenance. A facilities owner can affect the conditions everyone experiences. An organizer can offer an outdoor or remote alternative and reduce the cost

of declining an in-person invitation.

A portable air cleaner should be chosen and operated for the room, with attention to capacity and maintenance. EPA describes filtration alongside ventilation as ways of reducing exposure to airborne respiratory particles. Equipment is part of a broader approach, and a visible appliance does not by itself establish that every risk has been controlled. EPA indoor-air guidance

Try a hypothetical exercise. Identify the person who knows how the room's air system operates. Ask what can be changed safely, what is maintained, and what alternatives exist during smoke or extreme outdoor weather. The answer should be understandable to the people scheduling the room.

The instruction people can afford to follow

A public health recommendation enters a household with an existing budget, job, and care arrangement. An instruction to remain home when ill becomes harder when missing a shift means losing needed income. A recommendation about distancing becomes harder in crowded housing. These circumstances belong inside preparedness planning.

An organization can ask what makes its own health instructions practical. Does a person have usable leave? Can they participate remotely? Can a shift be covered? Is there a confidential way to request accommodation? This is an authored organizational exercise. It does not replace current health advice, but it can reveal why advice fails to translate into action.

WHO's planning approach treats preparedness as work across health systems and other parts of society. That broader view is useful because an outbreak can interrupt care, staffing, supplies, and communication

simultaneously. WHO PRET overview

The household that cares for someone

List the routine tasks a household performs for another person. Include transport, food, communication support, appointments, and help with daily living. Now ask what happens if the usual caregiver is ill. Identify a backup with the person's participation and consent.

Keep the instructions practical and appropriately private. The backup may need a provider's contact, an accessible communication method, or a description of a routine. They do not automatically need every medical detail. Ask the treating team which preparations are appropriate for the person's actual situation.

A written contact and medication list can help when routine arrangements change. The Red Cross supply guidance includes personal and medical information among preparedness considerations. Keep it current and accessible to the people authorized to use it. Red Cross supplies

The work that must continue

A continuity plan identifies essential work before absenteeism forces the decision. Choose one essential task. Who can perform it if the usual person is absent? Do they have the training, access, and time? Which supplier or outside service could also be unavailable?

This exercise is useful for a small library, an office, a care organization, or a community group. It should be run safely without exposing personal data or disrupting live services. The point is to discover a handover that exists only in someone's head.

Then identify work that can pause. Continuing every ordinary demand can overburden the people still available. A clear decision about temporary priorities helps staff and service users understand what to expect. Give each paused function an owner and a next review time so that temporary interruption does not become accidental abandonment.

The update that changed

New evidence can change advice. An organization should be able to explain the date, issuer, and reason for an update. Readers should be able to reach the underlying health source. Repeating a screenshot without its date makes it difficult to distinguish current guidance from a record of an earlier phase.

Use current pathogen-specific information for questions about testing, treatment, vaccination, masks, and return to activities. CDC's respiratory-virus guidance provides a current entry point for US readers, with clinical and local advice needed for individual circumstances. This book deliberately gives no fixed isolation period or universal medical regimen for an unknown future pathogen. CDC prevention

Here is a discussion exercise. One person reads a short official update. Another summarizes it with the source, date, uncertainty, and action. Compare the summary with the original. Did an important condition disappear? Did a suggestion become a universal rule? The exercise helps people share useful information without overclaiming.

The person who stays absent

A return to activity does not mean everyone has recovered or can participate on the same terms. People may still need treatment, accommodations, flexible schedules, or ways to join remotely. Ask what access requires rather than assuming a single return date settles the matter.

The same attention applies to help between households. A delivery offer is more useful when it matches what the recipient needs and how they can receive it. A recurring check-in can be welcome when it is agreed in advance and does not demand a performance of gratitude or recovery.

Before and after the word pandemic

WHO declared a public health emergency of international concern on January 30, 2020, and characterized COVID-19 as a pandemic on March 11. Those dates describe distinct statements in the institutional response. A reader looking back should preserve the chronology instead of treating one word as the beginning of every relevant action. WHO account March 11 situation report

A household's experience had its own dates. An appointment changed, a school message arrived, someone became ill, or work moved. The difference between those calendars is one reason a future preparedness plan should use practical triggers alongside major announcements. What would make the organization review attendance? What would make a household call its care provider? Who follows the current local advice?

These are planning questions. They do not establish a universal trigger for an unknown future pathogen. The decision should reflect the actual evidence, setting, and health guidance. The value of advance discussion is that the responsible people are identified before a rapidly changing situation demands their attention.

A week with half a team

Run a hypothetical continuity exercise. A small organization has seven people. Three are unavailable, one is caring for a child, and a usual supplier has delayed deliveries. Name the essential service. Assign only the staff actually available. Decide which ordinary tasks will pause.

The arithmetic can become uncomfortable. A plan that quietly assumes the same person will perform several full-time jobs has not solved the staffing gap. A plan that relies on an untrained substitute has moved the gap into quality or safety. Write down what can be done reliably, then communicate the limit to the people who depend on the service.

Next, ask how the organization will learn that the substitute arrangement is failing. A daily handover may reveal an accumulating queue, an exhausted worker, or a missing skill. Give someone authority to adjust the plan. An emergency arrangement needs the ability to respond to its own consequences.

End with recovery. When staff return, which tasks have accumulated? Who will reconcile records or contact people whose service was delayed? The backlog can be an important part of the disruption. A return to the usual attendance count may leave significant work still unresolved.

The seat beside the door

An accommodation can look complete to its author and remain unusable to its recipient. A remote meeting may lack captions. An outdoor gathering may be physically inaccessible. A delivery may arrive at a place the household cannot reach. A request for private health information may discourage someone from asking for help.

Use a hypothetical accessibility review with the people affected. Explain the proposed arrangement, ask what would make it usable, and agree on the information that needs to be shared. The conversation should preserve dignity and choice. A person should not have to argue for the seriousness of their life in order to participate.

This is part of why general preparedness reaches beyond medical instructions. A community can follow an important health recommendation more effectively when its services remain accessible. The work may involve scheduling, building management, technology, income support, or a neighbor willing to make an agreed delivery.

The useful improvement is often specific. A captioned alternative, a confidential contact, a backup caregiver, or a correctly maintained air cleaner gives someone an option they lacked. Record who maintains it. A plan built around care should be able to name the person for whom that care becomes usable.

What to keep

Choose one improvement worth maintaining between outbreaks. It might be cleaner indoor air, usable sick leave, a trained backup, or a better way to include someone remotely. Give the improvement an owner and a review date. Preparedness becomes more credible when it is embedded in ordinary arrangements.

The companion 24-card deck focuses on respiratory-pandemic preparedness because that is the scope of the sources used here. Other transmission routes require different measures. Every household and workplace scene in this edition is hypothetical. Sources were checked on November 16, 2026. The book offers general preparation and organizational exercises. Medical decisions require current public health and clinical guidance for the actual pathogen and person.